

**MINUTES OF MEETING
RIVER RIDGE
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**

A Regular Meeting of the River Ridge Community Development District's Board of Supervisors was held on Tuesday, November 14, 2017 at 1:00 p.m., in the Lake Room at the River Club Conference Center (Second Floor of Fitness Center), 4784 Pelican Sound Boulevard, Estero, Florida 33928.

Present at the meeting were:

Bob Schultz	Chair
Terry Mountford	Vice Chair
James Gilman	Assistant Secretary
Larry Fiesel	Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

Chuck Adams	District Manager
Cleo Adams	Assistant Regional Manager
Tony Pires	District Counsel
Charlie Krebs (<i>via telephone</i>)	District Engineer
Jim Whitmore	PSGRC General Manager
Rich Hans	Resident
Chris Ralston	Resident
Kurt Blumenthal	Resident
Marci Holz	Resident

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Call to Order/Roll Call

Mr. Adams called the meeting to order at 1:00 p.m. Supervisors Schultz, Mountford and Gilman and Fiesel were present, in person. One seat was vacant.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Moment of Silence in Memory of Former Supervisor, Judy Haase

Mr. Adams requested a moment of silence in memory of former Supervisor, Judy Haase.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Public Comments: Agenda Items (5 minutes per speaker)

There being no public comments, the next item followed.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Discussion/Consideration of Candidate to Fill Seat 3 Vacancy: Term Expires November, 2020

Mr. Schultz stated that three residents approached him to express interest in filling the Seat 3 vacancy. Being that there is much interest, the Board should solicit the community for candidates to fill the remainder of the term. Those interested candidates could be asked to submit a brief bio, an expression of interest and what they would offer to the District, as a Supervisor. Mr. Adams could prepare a request for candidates and Mr. Whitmore, at the PSGRCC, could email it to the community. The candidates would be evaluated and considered at the next meeting. The other Board Members had no objection to this approach.

A. Administration of Oath of Office to Newly Elected Supervisor (the following to be provided in a separate package)

- i. Guide to Sunshine Amendment and Code of Ethics for Public Officers and Employees**
- ii. Membership, Obligations and Responsibilities**
- iii. Financial Disclosure Forms**
 - **Form 1: Statement of Financial Interests**
 - **Form 1X: Amendment to Form 1, Statement of Financial Interests**
 - **Form 1F: Final Statement of Financial Interests**
- iv. Form 8B – Memorandum of Voting Conflict**

B. Consideration of Resolution 2018-02, Electing Officers to the District

These items were deferred to the next meeting.

*****Mr. Krebs joined the meeting, via telephone.*****

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Continued Discussion: Traffic Calming

Mr. Adams had nothing new to report and would continue to carry this as an item of interest.

In response to Mr. Schultz’s question, Mrs. Adams responded that the new signs, with different speeds, were installed prior to Hurricane Irma. Mr. Adams stated that the crosswalk on Pelican Sound Drive would be installed on December 4, 2017. Discussion ensued regarding additional sidewalks, the sidewalk locations, sidewalk problems and maintenance, inspecting the

sidewalks, pedestrian lanes, ownership of the sidewalks, the right-of-way (ROW), WCI's involvement with the sidewalks and roadways and helping The Meadows.

Mr. Krebs, would investigate and prepare a sketch of the scope of work for the small section of sidewalk. Staff would determine ownership and report at the next meeting.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Continued Discussion: Water Quality in Lake E4-A, H1-B & E7-A

Mrs. Adams stated that Mr. Kurth, of LakeMasters Aquatic Weed Control, Inc. (LakeMasters) could not attend today and an update on the lakes was not provided.

A Board Member stated that Lakes H1 and E7, near the swimming pool, had algae amongst the spikerush in the clear water area. Mrs. Adams stated that is good; it was the location where the duck was installed, as part of the bio-generator system.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Continued Discussion: Storm Water System Design and Recent Performance Areas of Potential Focus

Mr. Krebs reported the following:

- He went back to look for connectivity between the existing basins that make up the water management system and tried to get water to flow from the system to the perimeter and to the existing discharge area, as quickly as possible. His recommendation was to have the surveyor go back through the community, survey all control structures that are going from basin to basin to verify the existing dimensions, for comparison with the South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD) permits. There may be some modifications that he can make to the system, such as, increasing the control structure openings, if they were smaller than what was approved, which might allow water to pass better from one basin to another.
- Review existing connections between the drainage basins, for example, the basin connection between Lakes E1 and E3. The control structure is a box located by the Amenities Center for Pelican Sound Way. If the weir inside that structure was removed and a control structure was installed in the lake, there could be a larger box with larger dimensions so that, when the water rises to a 25-year storm event level, it would flow through the top of the structure and not across a 3' weir. That would allow more water to

go from Lakes E1 to E3, during severe storm events. The same thing happens between Lakes E3 and E8. By moving that to the shoreline, one would get the entire horizontal opening of the concrete box that would be used to allow water to leave the lake and go to the next downstream basin.

- Review locations to install emergency overflow spillways. A couple of locations were identified that were close enough to the edge of the community that an overflow could possibly be, such as the one on Southern Hills that goes to Williams Road. He was looking for areas close to existing conveyances that would not require any major improvements to try to get the water to flow.
- Review locations for lake connections between basins to improve discharge during peak storm events.
- Review possible locations to install additional culverts to increase lake interconnections. There might be areas culverts could be installed, from existing structures to adjacent lakes, to try and provide redundancy. In The Meadows, everything flows through one culvert from lake-to-lake but there are several structures, in the back of some of the homes, which are close enough to a lake wherein he could cut across the golf course and provide a second outfall. In the event that debris or vegetation got into that structure through a storm event, this would still provide a way for water to get downstream. He tried to identify several locations where there were inlets adjacent to lakes and adjacent to roadways that were already conveying water to downstream lakes; therefore, it would be simply trying to find a way to connect that lake; the upstream lake to allow water to go through.
- Review relocating control structures from inside boxes to the edge of lake slopes.
- Improve FPL swale to increase conveyance to Estero River. The thought was to increase the capacity and make improvements so the swale, along the FPL Easement, would allow more water to flow through and out towards the Estero River. More brush control might be necessary and it may be able to be widened and the bottom area increased.
- Install staff gauges at control structures and at boat launch to monitor water levels, which would be an easy way to verify how the system was working, in the event of a storm. Someone could be out there driving from basin to basin and he would be able to confirm elevation. The staff gauges would have elevations from mean sea level so they would be able to tell the lake level and compare it to the upstream levels. An Exhibit was provided,

which identified areas and marked those areas that could possibly be improved, such as by relocating structures, providing connections, etc.

Mr. Schultz thought these were excellent ideas and asked Mr. Krebs how it should be approached. Mr. Krebs responded that the first thing was to meet with the SFWMD to determine if any of these suggestions would require permitting. Then, they could be broken down into Phases, based upon the level of agency involvement that would be required. Mr. Schultz thought that was a good approach and he was in favor of pursuing this.

A Board Member referred to Mr. Krebs' Exhibit for Basin E1 and stated that it appeared that too much of the water was being put into one place; it was coming out of The Meadows, coming off Williams Road, coming from the west side of Pelican Sound and all coming into one location. Mr. Krebs replied that was one of his suggestions; to try and relocate the control structure from behind that amenity area and put a box in that lake. The box would be something that is more accessible. Right now, the width of the weir, when the water gets over the 25-year storm elevation, is only the inside dimension of the box. In the case of relocating it to the lake, it would have that whole horizontal opening that would be the weir, resulting in a much larger volume of water moving through that box.

Discussion ensued regarding moving water and SFWMD controlling the system.

Mr. Krebs stated that, as long as the District was not creating an adverse condition inside the CDD, from downstream, the SFWMD should not have any problems with the District relocating a structure from behind the amenity area behind the tennis areas, to the lake shore, which is typically where the structures should be located to make them more accessible and easier to maintain. WCI located them behind that area so they would not be an eyesore or a structure on the shoreline.

Mr. Adams suggested that Mr. Krebs provide proposals for supplemental Agreements for each task and prioritize them in the order he thought the District should complete them.

Mr. Schultz requested that Mr. Krebs prepare budget estimates for the Fiscal Year 2019 budget.

A Board Member inquired about a cleaning that was approved at the June meeting and questioned if the Board wanted to continue addressing blockages at 40% or greater or move towards addressing those with 25% blockage. The Board unanimously agreed to go shift to 25%. In response to several questions, Mrs. Adams stated that the work was completed on September 29, right after the hurricane, and the swale along the easement had been done, as well;

some trees came over from the storm, which were removed by LakeMasters. All of the blockages after the storm were cleaned out; the ones that were blocked and on the inspection report, which the Board agreed to clean if blockage was 25% or more. Considering that the pipes were not cleaned until after the storm, she was sure that some of the pipes had even more than what was in the original inspection.

Discussion ensued regarding the divers, clogged areas, videos of the storm, the yearly inspections, the next cleaning scheduled for June, cleaning prior or after the rainy season, targeting springtime for cleanings and Mr. Krebs' suggested improvements to the system.

Mr. Schultz stated that there were a lot of misnamed comments in the minutes and asked everyone to state their name, prior to talking, since it was not easy for the transcriptionist who is not in the room.

Mr. Schultz stated that Pelican Sound had a learning program that was successful and Mr. Krebs should redo the last meeting presentation that he gave to the Board, as far as explaining the storm water management system. Mr. Schultz suggested doing it at the end of the session so the Board could incorporate some things that Mr. Krebs should study and where the District was headed in trying to make improvements. He would try and get together with Mr. Krebs and Mr. McDowell to schedule it. The Board should assist Mr. Krebs with anything he needed; Mr. Krebs should put this on his "To Do List".

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Continued Discussion: Irrigation Supply System Definition of Description and Definition of Limits of Responsibility – Memorandum

Mrs. Adams stated that, at the last meeting, in the review of the narrative provided by Mr. Krebs, together with the exhibits, Mr. Pires' task was to memorialize it in a Memorandum.

A Board Member stated that, regarding the irrigation system, which is in the Joint Maintenance Agreement between The Pelican Sound Golf and River Club (PSGRCC) and the CDD, the definition of the maintenance system, as far as where it starts and ends, relative to the responsibilities between the PSGRCC and the CDD, must be determined. Mr. Pires stated that he, Mr. Krebs and Mr. Adams spoke about this last time and he was to incorporate what he verbally advised, consistent with the graphics Mr. Krebs provided, as to the points of connection

where valve points of connection were located. His opinion and recommendation was that the Board recognizes the following:

- The District owns the connecting pipes and valves within the public utility easements and/or the CDD ROW that connects to the main irrigation transmission line. They are located within those utility easements, which are in the road and depicted on the graphics.
- The limits of the District’s owned irrigation system is all District-owned facilities within the ROW or the public utilities easement.
- Anything past that point and anywhere into a parcel is not the responsibility of the District.
- The Agreement with the PSGRCC, as to the maintenance of the District’s facilities, which is the PSGRCC’s responsibilities as to connecting valves, connecting pipes, connections to the irrigation system within the District’s ROW or the public utility easement, as depicted by the graphics.

Mr. Pires stated that, if the Board wanted to take responsibility for the lines outside the public utilities, easements or ROW, where it goes inward into the lots, then easements would be needed for that and Bills of Sale would also be required but he was not recommending that.

Mr. Schultz referred to the single-family homes and to show him where, on that system, the responsibility stops. Mr. Krebs referred to an example on Exhibit A and stated that is where the narrative is.

Discussion ensued regarding the Exhibit and explanation and narrative, the stop valve in the public utility easement being a point of connection, the 10’ utility easement, ownership of the valves and Mr. Whitmore stating that he was treating them all the same. Mr. Whitmore suggested posting this on the website.

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Continued Discussion: Storm Damage Responsibility Caused To or By Street Trees Within Right-of-Ways

Mr. Pires handed out and presented a Memorandum, November 12, 2017, entitled “District Maintenance Responsibility; Improvements By Others In District Rights-of-Way (ROW); Driveways, “Street” Trees”. Mr. Pires stated that the operative aspect is in the Memorandum itself and the like of it is attributable to, including all the amended and restated Covenants. The issues were:

1. As to District-owned facilities, whenever the District owned it, the District has a responsibility to protect and maintain its facilities in a safe condition; this is a general Rule of Florida Law. To do so, the District enters into agreements and contracts with other parties to perform those maintenance responsibilities and that is why the District has the Agreement with the PSGRCC. That may not totally divest the District of liability, should something happen, as parties who are injured tend to go after as many parties as possible.

2. When there are District trees, the general rule is, if there are roots that encroach onto an adjacent property, the District has no liability for any damages resulting from that.

3. As to other District facilities, such as sidewalks, if there are defects in a District-owned or controlled sidewalk, the District may have liability and responsibility for damages for those kind of defects or issues that could be within the District's knowledge, or known for a period of time and, through the exercise of reasonable care, should have been repaired and/or corrected. Generally, as a matter of law, an owner whose property abuts a public sidewalk generally owes no duty to the public to maintain the sidewalk solely because their property abuts the sidewalk. When talking about a concrete sidewalk and it is a District sidewalk, generally, the District has the responsibility to keep it in good and safe condition.

4. Mr. Pires' understanding was that the District-owned ROWs and sidewalks were constructed by the prime Developer and acquired by the District and, to his knowledge, none of the sidewalks were installed or constructed by individual property owners. The sidewalks are concrete and the driveways may be either concrete or paver blocks. As far as the concrete sidewalks, normally, it is the responsibility of the District to maintain those but there might be Deed Restrictions or Covenants that provide an obligation or contractual obligation among the various property owners to maintain the sidewalk. Even if there are such contractual arrangements in the form of Deed Restrictions, as is the case, the District retains the duty of sidewalk maintenance and its responsibilities are not generally eliminated or excused. Again, there are two parties.

5. As to the driveways constructed and located in the District's ROW, the District neither owns nor controls the driveways, since that is the property owner's. In his opinion, those improvements placed in the District ROW by others are not part of the District's acceptance of the ROW because the District is not involved with driveways; the District does roads and sidewalks. In his opinion, any District authorization or acquiesce in, or for the installation, placement and continued existence of a private driveway within the ROW, is predicated upon the

owner of the property serviced by the driveway, or benefited by the driveway and maintaining the driveway in a safe and sound condition.

6. It is helpful to note this obligation, on the part of the property owner, is reinforced by the terms of the Covenants for Pelican Sound, Section 5.3. That Section deems the owners of lots, responsible to maintain the driveways. The issue as to the responsibility for driveway maintenance is that the driveways are the responsibility of the property owners, in his opinion.

7. If the driveway is in the ROW and District trees are encroaching into the driveway and cause a problem it is the responsibility of the owner of the property to take care of it.

8. In a situation wherein there is a paver block portion where a sidewalk would be, then, the District may have some obligation to repair that but then the District could just install concrete; therefore, he would say that it is the property owner's responsibility, if they want to have a paver driveway or they could change the elevation of the driveway, as indicated in his Memorandum. In looking at the site plans, he was trying to understand whether the Development Order (DO) even allows trees in the ROW. In some DOs, the trees are not in the ROW and were supposed to be on individual lots. The question becomes, did the District really accept those trees when they accepted the road, since, according to the DOs from Lee County, they were not intended to be part of what was built. In his opinion, any District authorization for installation, placement or continued existence of trees within the ROW is predicated upon the owner of the adjacent property benefited by maintaining that tree. It is also reinforced by the language in the Covenants that address typical landscaping maintenance.

9. The 8th and 9th points address the issue regarding settling or upheaval of a driveway area. In his opinion, it is the responsibility of the driveway owner if it is not going to impact the concrete sidewalk. The District has no obligation to repair the driveway; the property owner should repair their own driveway. If the District decides to remove any portion of the sidewalk or replace it with concrete and the property owner wants paver blocks, it would be the property owner's obligation.

Mr. Schultz asked who is responsible for repairing the sidewalk, if tree roots lift the sidewalks. Mr. Pires responded that the District has the obligation to maintain its facilities in a safe condition; therefore, the District would be responsible, if a sidewalk could be a trip hazard and be non-compliant with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. Mr. Adams stated that, if a resident's tree roots lift the District's sidewalks, the District has the obligation to repair the sidewalks; conversely, if a District's tree goes through a resident's driveway, the

resident would have the obligation to repair their own driveway. Discussion ensued regarding concrete versus paver block driveways, locations where driveways overlap sidewalks, driveway design, sidewalks, the Agreement with the PSGRCC and a routine sidewalk inspection and maintenance program.

Mr. Schultz requested that the following be placed on the Action Item List:

- Whenever the PSGRCC observes a potential problem regarding the sidewalks, the PSGRCC will notify the Board at a meeting, or beforehand.

Mr. Rich Thomas, a resident, asked which entity had responsibility for the two oak trees that went down in the ROW and onto the street in Glen Eagles. Mr. Adams believed that the PSGRCC cleared the roadway. Mr. Whitmore replied that PSGRC did not; they had an approximate \$390 bill to fix the roof balls and another \$800 bill, which was one of the points of discussion. It was something that the PSGRC wanted to figure out or send the bills to the CDD. In response to Mr. Hans' direct question, it was not the District's responsibility.

Discussion ensued regarding the Tree Committee, most trees considered "street" trees are not "street" trees, buffer trees and trees, in general.

Mr. Hans stated that the trees may not be the District's responsibility but he wanted to know if the trees in between residences were called street trees or were they each owned by one owner. Mr. Pires replied that he must review the plans. Discussion ensued regarding the street trees, the DO, various other DOs and Hammock Greens not having a DO.

Mr. Chris Ralston, a resident, stated that he was a Member of the Ad Hoc Tree Committee. He wanted to know if the CDD owned trees in the ROWs. Mr. Pires replied that, whether the District accepted conveyance of the tree or knew about it, was part of the conversation. If it was not supposed to be planted in the ROW, then it was not the intent, in the Acquisition, for the District to acquire it.

Mr. Ralston asked whether there was an inspection and if the CDD would have effectively accepted the tree, if the tree was there when the CDD was created, during the development of Pelican Sound. Mr. Pires replied affirmatively but he could not recall the number of conveyances. Mr. Ralston asked if the CDD had any say in the removal, replanting, pruning, etc., if the trees were not accepted by the CDD. Mr. Pires replied that, from the standpoint of maintenance and pruning, the District had an obligation to address safety issues, such pruning for safety purposes, damage to property, sight lines, etc. Mr. Ralston wanted to reaffirm Mr. Pires' response that the District has a responsibility. Mr. Pires replied that the

District would have a responsibility as to those trees and the trees in the ROW, so as to avoid any dangerous conditions. Mr. Ralston believed that the Fire Department requires the street trees to be maintained to a certain height. Mrs. Adams stated 14'. Mr. Ralston stated that the Tree Committee was trying to reach some consensus and for the long-term. He asked if CDD approval would be required for tree removal. Mr. Pires replied affirmatively; if the tree was on CDD property and infrastructure and facilities were in place. Authorization was needed so that the CDD could ensure that the work or tree removal was being done properly. If trees were not accepted by the District, then the District would not own them. Discussion ensued regarding the trees, removal, Lee County DOs, facilities that the CDD should be concerned about, the ROW and CDD approval for tree removals in the ROW, Notice of Intent (NOI) to remove trees, the Tree Committee and root mitigation, the Master Plan, changing easement locations, the Village of Estero and its protected tree list of 40 or 50 trees, permits to remove trees and Estero overlooking permits due to the hurricane debris.

Mr. Kurt Blumenthal, a resident from the Masters, had four trees go down. Three trees fell inward, into private property; one fell across the street, blocking the road. He felt that removal of the tree that fell across the street and the roof ball was clearly a safety issue and asked if that tree would be the CDD's responsibility whereas it would be the individual homeowner's responsibility for both the tree and the stump for the other three trees that were removed. Mr. Pires did not think there was an obligation for reimbursement to homeowners. Mr. Adams stated that, the next time, residents should leave trees and contact him or the PSGRCC, since Mr. Blumenthal would be acting as an agent to clear items that were a safety issue.

Mr. Schultz voiced his opinion that the District should "get out of the business of trees" and delegate responsibility to the PSGRC, under the Maintenance Agreement. A Board Member recalled that the Board passed a Motion for that, years ago. Discussion ensued regarding the PSGRC, revisiting the Agreement, meeting to review and understand the Agreement, blocking trees and safety issues, the Maintenance Assignment Agreement, priorities after the hurricane and liability due to the trees.

Mr. Schultz stated that there would be a meeting with Mr. Whitmore to discuss the Maintenance Agreement concept and come up with suggestions to move forward.

Mr. Marc Holz, a resident from the Masters, stated that she had three root stumps in the ROW, and wanted to know who was responsible for removing those stumps. Mr. Adams replied that the Masters was responsible; the District did not own the trees.

Mr. Schultz referred to the First Amendment to the Amended and Restated Community Development District Systems and Facilities Operation and Maintenance Agreement, which was unsigned. Mrs. Adams stated that it was approved on April 28, 2015, per the Meeting Minutes. It was signed on the CDD end and then sent to the PSGRC. A Board Member stated that Amendment was about the landscaping. Discussion ensued regarding the responsibility of the CDD, the trees needing to be on a particular lot, the ROW and trees, subcommittee to attend to this to avoid further confusion, stumps, plantings by others and that those do not belong to the CDD, ROW boundaries and facilities therein, the District owning that tract, Pelican Sound Declaration of Covenants stating that it is the homeowner's responsibility for landscaping from their property up to the edge of the road, the Association's position that it is a burden on homeowners and doing the whole Association as one, the PSGRC and its responsibilities, removing trees as long as it did not damage the District's roads, an active Tree Committee to govern tree removal and the Southern Hills DO.

Mr. Ralston stated that he reviewed the DOs, along with the Chair of the Tree Committee. In some of the DOs, deviations were applied for by WCI that allowed for planting trees in the ROWs. He asked if that would change Mr. Pires' opinion, since those DOs were deviations, were requested and granted by Lee County. Mr. Pires asked Mr. Ralston to send the information to him; it depended upon when the District took control of that asset. Discussion ensued regarding the deviations in the DOs.

Mr. Schultz stated that he and Mr. Whitmore would meet about the total concept of the Maintenance Agreement and others could be invited.

TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**Discussion: Line-of-Sight Issues at Southern Hills Drive Exiting onto Pelican Sound Drive**

Mr. Fiesel stated that the Board discussed the exit point at Southern Hills for a number of years. The PSGRC removed a lot of landscaping and a few trees, several years ago, which somewhat improved the visual aspect of leaving the community but it did not completely solve it. When leaving the community, one must almost be on Pelican Sound Drive before seeing traffic, due to the angle. Discussion ensued regarding the line-of-sight issues, approaching traffic, a blind spot, bike riders and the dangers of the traffic, accelerating traffic, the berm and landscaping, installing a mirror, speed humps, raised crossings, installing signage, moving the

stop line closer to the road and not being able to see oncoming traffic unless the car is physically in the street.

ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of October 24, 2017 Regular Meeting Minutes

Mr. Adams presented the October 24, 2017 Regular Meeting Minutes and asked for any additions, deletions or corrections. The following changes were provided by Mr. Pires, in writing, and verbal changes by the Board as follows:

Line 72: Change “typically it floats” to “typically floats”

Lines 73 and 74: Strike “This lake never had bacteria on it before because socks were not added.”

Line 113: Change “Federal Demonstration Partnership (FDP)” to “Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP)”

Line 153: Insert “and Ms. Clancy” after “Robson”

Line 162: Change “near Masters and Nevada” to “near the Masters”

Line 167: Change “system,.” to “system.”

Line 179: Delete “maintenance of the system,”

Line 182: Change “seawater” to “water”

Line 203: Change “velocity of the water” to “velocity of the water,”

Line 212: Delete “Amenity Center”

Line 231: Change “point” to “point.”

Line 253: Change “Barbara” to “Barber”

Line 282: Change “values” to “valves”

Line 284: Change “Mr. Schultz” to “Mr. Pires”

Line 284: Change “Mr. Krebs of” to “Mr. Krebs working with Mr. Pires”

Lines 290, 291, 294, and 297: Change “Mr. Gilman” to “Mr. Thomas”

Line 298: Change “Mr. Gilman” to “Mr. Pires”

Lines 322 to 323: Delete “If the stump fell onto private property, the District would reimburse for removal.”

Line 332: Change “Mr. Pires” to “Mr. Krebs”

Line 338: Change “\$20 to \$25 each” to “\$200 to \$250 each”

On MOTION by Mr. Fiesel and seconded by Mr. Gilman, with all in favor, the October 24, 2017 Regular Meeting Minutes, as edited, were approved.

A. Action/Agenda Items

Items 2, 8 and 10 were deleted.

Item 11: Change “Mr. Adams” to “Mr. Schultz”

Item 12: Change “Mr. Schultz” to “Mr. Pires” and change “proposed study” to “proposed storm water study”

Item 13: Add “in March/April.”

The following items were added:

- Mr. Krebs to resend email re: Mary McCormick from Lee County to the Board and Mr. Adams.
- Mr. Schultz to review the Maintenance Agreement in total, with Mr. Whitmore.

TWELFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Staff Reports

A. District Counsel

Mr. Pires received notification that the permit was approved for the pickleball and tennis courts. Staff is recommending for approval.

B. District Engineer

There being nothing additional to report, the next item followed.

C. District Manager

i. Annual Key Activities

The 2017 Key Activity Dates Report was provided for informational purposes.

ii. NEXT MEETING DATE: December 12, 2017 at 1:00 P.M.

Mr. Adams stated that the next meeting will be held on December 12, 2017 at 1:00 p.m., at this location.

THIRTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisors’ Requests and Public Comments (5 minutes per speaker)

Mr. Schultz stated that, at the last meeting, he and Mr. Whitmore agreed that they would discuss pavers. The PSGRC planned significant paver work, as part of the Clubhouse renovations. The work would probably occur late 2018, or early 2019. He thought that, by

combining the CDD's paver work with the PSGRC paver work, there would probably only be one staging requirement, rather than two; he would try and combine that work into a single package. He and Mr. Whitmore discussed other areas that are potential candidates, such as places where golf cart paths cross streets, which currently have two white lines; it could draw attention to drivers and improve the aesthetics of the community. The Board should plan to budget this, in the future.

Mr. Schultz stated that it was about time to send the annual letter to residents. Mr. Adams asked Mr. Schultz to send him a list of accomplishments and topics that the Board would like included and he would draft the letter.

The lights in the median were not working. Mr. Adams would inspect the median.

FOURTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Adjournment

There being nothing further to discuss, the meeting adjourned.

On MOTION by Mr. Fiesel and seconded by Mr. Gilman, with all in favor, the meeting adjourned at 2:50 p.m.

[SIGNATURES APPEAR ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE]


Secretary/Assistant Secretary


Chair/Vice Chair