

**MINUTES OF MEETING
RIVER RIDGE
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**

The River Ridge Community Development District Board of Supervisors held a Regular Meeting on May 28, 2024 at 1:00 p.m., in the Sound Room at the River Club Conference Center (Second Floor of Fitness Center), 4784 Pelican Sound Boulevard, Estero, Florida 33928, and via Zoom at <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89848208244> and telephone at 1-929-205-6099, Meeting ID: 898 4820 8244 for both.

Present were:

Bob Schultz (via telephone)	Chair
Terry Mountford	Vice Chair
Robert Twombly	Assistant Secretary
Kurt Blumenthal	Assistant Secretary
Jim Gilman	Assistant Secretary

Also present:

Chuck Adams	District Manager
Cleo Adams	District Manager
Shane Willis	Operations Manager
Tony Pires	District Counsel
Samantha de Gulas	District Counsel Summer Intern
Charlie Krebs	District Engineer
Eric Long	PSGRC General Manager
Bill Kurth	Premier Lakes
Alex Kurth (via Zoom)	Premier Lakes
Ed Nowak (via Zoom)	Resident
Larry Fiesel	Resident
Neil Collins	Resident

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Call to Order/Roll Call

Mrs. Adams called the meeting to order at 1:00 p.m. Supervisors Mountford, Blumenthal, Gilman and Twombly were present. Supervisor Schultz attended via telephone.

On MOTION by Mr. Blumenthal and seconded by Mr. Mountford, with all in favor, authorizing Mr. Schultz's attendance and full participation, via telephone/Zoom, due to exceptional circumstances, was approved.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Public Comments: Agenda Items (5 minutes per speaker)

Resident Ed Nowak asked what action the CDD is taking about the trees along the parkways that did not bloom last year and that are currently bare and seem dead.

Mr. Long stated that the tabebuia trees bloomed but the bloom only lasted about one month; a certified arborist examined the trees and determined that most are not dead. Four to six dead trees from the recent installation will be removed but they are no longer covered by the one-year warranty. A proposal was requested for replacement of the trees. Some of the tabebuia trees are just stressed, due to Hurricane Ian, salinity in the water and the lack of rain. The trees will recover but it will take some time.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Update: Premier Lakes, Inc. [Alex Kurth]

Mr. Bill Kurth reported the following:

- No submersed weed treatments have been necessary recently.
- Algae has been sprayed during routine maintenance.
- Water levels are low but rainy season is approaching.
- An email was received regarding Canna Lilly at the tee boxes; trimming will be done today.

Mr. Long asked if Canna Lilly will be harvested. Mr. Bill Kurth does not believe so but noted that some Canna Lilly will be harvested soon. Mr. Long stated that littorals could be harvested from the lake bed remediation on Sound #2.

Whether to relocate Canna Lilly, the undesirability of Canna Lilly on the golf course, the need to install littorals on the lake bed and littorals removed from E4-C, were discussed.

Mr. Willis stated, when harvesting is finished, the littorals can be filled in.

Mr. Kurth believes that the Canna Lilly will be trimmed at Tee Boxes 6 and 8. It was noted that Lakes 3 needs attention. Mr. Krebs stated that native grasses would be the best long-term alternative. Mrs. Adams stated that residents also complain about Muhly Grass; the Muhly Grass will need to be cut. It was noted that the current trimming is included in the contract.

Mr. Krebs stated trimming is twice a year and the Muhly and Cord Grasses can be cut once a year. Evidently, 20 years ago, grasses were present where Canna Lilly have since been planted.

Mr. Schultz reported that plantings were pushed over on the Lakes Course greens, in the vicinity of the Lakes #7, par 3. Mr. Long stated there have been hog issues, mostly on the Lakes

Course and at the Oak Run Monument yesterday. Four hogs were trapped over the weekend; ten were observed. Hogs seem to be coming from Saltleaf and some were reported at West Bay. Most sightings are between 10:00 p.m. and 4:00 a.m. Mr. Bill Kurth predicted that, if the roots are intact, the plants will recover, even if the plants are laying on their sides.

A. Consideration of One Time Work Order Agreement for E1-C (Masters Lake) Aeration Noise Reduction

Mr. Bill Kurth presented the Work Order Agreement for E1-C Aeration Noise Reduction. There is not a good path for moving the aeration because the roots are mature; the proposed solution entails building an insulated wood enclosure sealed with deck stain. While there is no guarantee of the results, similar projects reduced noise by as much as 20 decibels. When last measured, the noise level on the complainant’s lanai was 55 decibels.

The Board and Staff discussed aesthetics, noise reduction and possibly scheduling the project in Fiscal Year 2025.

On MOTION by Mr. Mountford and seconded by Mr. Gilman, with all in favor, the Premier Lakes One-Time Work Order Agreement for E1-C for Masters Lake Aeration Noise Reduction, in the amount of \$1,800, was approved.

Mr. Bill Kurth stated he received an email about three dead pine trees in the dry retention area. Pine tree snags will be created; the work should be completed by the end of next week.

Mr. Schultz asked if the Island Sound noise reduction measures were successful. Mr. Bill Kurth stated that no further complaints were received; he believes the measures were adequate.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Continued Discussion: Stormwater Management Plan

This item was discussed in conjunction with the Sixth Order of Business.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Discussion/Update: Irrigation Water Resource [Eric Long - PSGRC]

This item was discussed following the Sixth Order of Business.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration of Drainage Improvement Bids

A. Bid Summary

Mr. Krebs distributed and presented an updated Bid Summary. He has only worked with M.R.I. Construction Inc., (MRI) but Mr. Long has worked with all three bidders. In comparing the bids, Mr. Krebs determined that MRI's pricing was significantly higher because it was based upon a higher quality storm drain than the other bids. The other bidders upgraded their bids, which resulted in the updated bids being presented today.

B. Respondents

I. Clark Construction Group Inc.

II. MRI

III. SGI Company

Mr. Krebs stated that Clark Construction Group Inc. (Clark) bid approximately \$346,000; MRI bid approximately \$358,000 and SGI Company (SGI) bid approximately \$369,000. The MRI bid was modified to reflect the inclusion of Celebration sod; the other bids have St. Augustine sod, which is comparable to Celebration sod. The bids are within \$20,000 of each other. The golf course and common areas have Celebration sod; the goal is to stay with the same type of sod. Sod specifications were not included in the specifications, which is why the adjustment was made. Celebration sod is grown seasonally and, shipping from Georgia would add \$1,500 in shipping costs; therefore, a 10% contingency was added. It was noted that SGI is based in Ocala.

The Board and Staff discussed the bids and scope of service.

It was noted that bidders were asked to bid Phase 2 and the entire project separately; if one company is awarded the entire project, Staff will ask for a reduction in mobilization costs.

Mr. Krebs stated, based on his experience with MRI only, his recommendation would be to award the contract to MRI. Mr. Pires asked Mr. Krebs if his opinion is that MRI is the lowest, most responsive, responsible, competent and qualified bidder with the best bid or proposal most advantageous to the CDD. Mr. Krebs stated yes, based on his experience. He reiterated that Mr. Long has worked with all three respondents and Mr. Long can respond to questions related to the other respondents. MRI's bid is the mid-priced bid compared to the other two bids.

A Board Member asked Mr. Long if price was the determining factor in awarding the bridge work to SGI. Mr. Long stated no. Another vendor would not commit to a specific date within a 60-day timeframe; in that instance, SCI was the second lowest bidder for the project.

Mr. Long stated that Clark re-grassed the golf course and the project park. In his opinion, Clark is very familiar with the CDD, does a lot of sitework and knows how to avoid damaging golf courses. The CDD used MRI on numerous projects and, while MRI is not as experienced on golf courses, they do a good job. He stated that SCI does a good job; crews are just finishing the Sound #2 bridge. He recommends adding a contingency to be prepared for the unknown.

Mr. Krebs voiced his belief that irrigation issues will need to be addressed.

The Board and Staff discussed the scope and timing of service and whether mobilization costs can be reduced by awarding both portions of the project to one respondent.

Mr. Krebs will speak with the bidders to confirm their start and completion dates, which were not part of the bid specifications.

On MOTION by Mr. Blumenthal and seconded by Mr. Mountford, with all in favor, directing Mr. Krebs to obtain additional information regarding mobilization costs, authorizing the Chair to work with Staff to award the Drainage Improvement contracts, contingent upon favorable start and completion dates and duration, in a not-to-exceed amount of \$350,000, was approved.

Mr. Krebs believes that, once work begins, the project should be completed within 60 days. He stated that project start and completion dates were not included in the bid specifications, as dates are finalized when contracts are awarded.

Mr. Long will send an email to inform residents about the project approval and timing.

▪ **Discussion/Update: Irrigation Water Resource [Eric Long - PSGRC]**

This item, previously the Fifth Order of Business, was presented out of order.

Mr. Long discussed ongoing challenges with the output of the well that was upgraded; unfortunately, the well only goes down 175'. The last well pulled approximately 350 to 400 gallons per minute and the new pump pulls approximately 500 to 550 gallons per minute. It has the capability to pull 700 to 800 gallons per minute but sediment presents an issue. He recommends monitoring the well but taking no action at this time.

Mr. Long stated the second well was submitted to the South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD) by Water Science; they are now requesting \$1,000 for the permit review fee. Additional documentation related to the CDD’s inability to get any additional water from Lee County was also requested. The purpose of the well will be to provide the CDD with redundancy so that, when one well has an issue, the other will be productive. Should the new well provide 500 gallons per minute, as the permit requests, the CDD will still be within the 1,000 gallons per minute limit.

Mr. Krebs expects the permit to be issued within two to three months.

Mr. Long stated that updated bids will be requested at the appropriate time. The initial bids were approximately \$125,000. The second well will be located where the community pump station is, off Sound 1.

Mrs. Adams stated that \$800 was paid to the Village of Estero. Mr. Long believes that \$1,000 is due to the SFWMD.

Mr. Krebs will follow up on the permit and authorize payment if necessary.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Discussion: Pelican Sound Golf and River Club, Inc. Second Amendment to Amended and Restated Community Development Systems and Facilities Operation and Maintenance Agreement

A. Amended and Restated Community Development Systems and Facilities Operation and Maintenance Agreement

Discussion ensued regarding the Agreement and expenditures funded by the CDD versus expenditures funded by the Pelican Sound Golf and River Club, Inc (PSGRC).

Mr. Long stated his belief that the CDD pays for sidewalks and painting of signage. He stated the PSGRC spends nearly \$1.1 million for CDD-related operating expenses, such as electricity for aerators and guardhouses.

It was noted that the Agreement states that the PSGRC will pay up to the first \$50,000 of improvements related to capital improvements, on a per project basis.

Discussion ensued regarding Operations & Maintenance (O&M) versus capital expenditures.

Mr. Adams believes the question is why the PSGRC is replacing signs if the CDD is replacing signs. Mr. Long believes that sign replacement is a capital item, not a repair. Mr. Adams noted a \$50,000 threshold.

Discussion ensued regarding the strikethroughs and verbiage related to street signs, landscaping and sidewalks in the Agreement and in the Second Amendment to the Agreement.

Mr. Long expressed support for the Agreement. He stated that \$56,000 will be spent on guardhouse camera updates in the near future.

Payment for street signs and a \$7,500 reimbursement to the CDD were discussed.

Mr. Long believes that repairs should be the PSGRC's responsibility but that payment for replacing street signs should be the CDD's responsibility.

Mr. Long discussed the planned gatehouse roof repairs at a cost of \$40,000.

Mr. Mountford suggested the \$50,000 threshold might need to be adjusted for inflation. Mr. Long stated that the current PSGRC operating budget of \$1.8 million likely only totaled \$600,000 five years ago.

Mr. Schultz explained that expenses can be viewed as coming from "Pocket A or Pocket B" and suggested Staff determine the most efficient way to manage recurring expenses. He agrees that the aggregate limit might need to be adjusted and suggested further discussion in order to determine the best solution for the CDD and the PSGRC. He suggested Staff work with Mr. Long to amend the Agreement.

This item will be added to the Action Items list.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Discussion: Conservation Area - Do and Don't List

Mr. Krebs presented the Conservation Area Do and Don't List and noted the following:

- Conservation areas are meant to remain in their natural state.
- In pedestrian areas, simple maintenance, such as replacing boards and painting, requires a permit.
- The SFWMD is very protective of conservation areas; removing and trimming of vegetation is only permitted for exotic and nuisance vegetation, within established limits.
- Dead vegetation can only be removed if hazardous and outside the conservation area.
- Trimming vegetation is not allowed without written approval.

- The document includes language included in the permit.
- Trash and golf balls can be removed only by licensed professionals and approved agents.
- The permit that allows trimming of mangroves does not apply to the conservation area.

Mr. Krebs stated that residents who asked for the mangroves to be cut were advised of the restrictions and advised that they cannot be cut without permission from the SFWMD.

Mr. Pires stated the SFWMD routinely performs flyovers and discussed a situation in which an HOA, the permittee, was held responsible for unauthorized cutting by a resident; the HOA pursued the resident accordingly, as water management districts pursue violators aggressively.

Mr. Krebs discussed the need to establish written rules to protect the CDD. He stated that, as staff turns over at the SFWMD and other regulatory agencies, new opinions can be issued, so documentation must be kept to protect the CDD.

It was noted that Island Sound recently trimmed the mangroves that are not within the conservation area, based on the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) permit.

Mr. Krebs reiterated that the residents behind Island Sound Building 16 wanted the mangroves in the conservation area to be trimmed to restore their view of the river. The residents were advised that mangroves, trees, shrubs and vegetation within the conservation area must remain in its native condition and cannot be trimmed. Approval must be sought from the SFWMD, by the CDD, since the CDD is the Landowner; the Board advised the residents that, if they wish to pursue a permit, it would be at their personal expense. He does not believe residents approached the Board to request assistance in applying for a permit.

Mr. Pires stated that the residents' expense would likely not be limited to just the permit application fees. If the SFWMD were amenable to modifying the Conservation Easement, it would likely require compensation, such as the acquisition of comparable land from a mitigation bank or other mitigation activity, which is very expensive.

Mr. Krebs stated that is a fair statement, as the SFWMD views any trimming as an impact to the wetlands. An exception exists for maintenance of existing boardwalks but rebuilding would require filing an application and implementing safeguards to ensure that impacts are minimized. The SFWMD is very protective; approvals must be obtained in writing, which also protects the CDD as much as it outlines the activity approved by the SFWMD.

A Board Member asked if the CDD has the obligation to help residents apply for a permit that is likely to be denied. Mr. Krebs stated the Board advised that, if residents wishing to pursue such action are willing to pay for it, the CDD will sign the applications, provided that the residents bear all associated financial burdens to get the end result they desire. On several occasions, when residents believed they had approval, the CDD has advised that, unless they can provide documentation of the approval, the CDD's position is that no approval was granted.

Mr. Pires stated that, in a case where residents are seeking to apply for approval or a permit, on their own, the residents would need the CDD's Joinder because the CDD is the Permittee and the Landowner. Part of the process, should the SFWMD be amenable to monitoring the permit, relates to short-term monetary considerations and long-term financial obligations. Mr. Krebs recalled that the Board advised the residents of Building 16 that they would bear all related costs if they chose to pursue the matter. As far as he is aware, no resident actions related to a formal application to modify the conservation area have been taken. Residents believed they had approval, based on the FDEP permit, but that does not apply to the conservation area.

Mr. Long stated that residents are frequently confused regarding conservation areas and indigenous preserve areas or upland areas. He asked Mr. Krebs to help educate residents regarding the requirements and approved practices and management plans for each type of area and noted that the CDD can mimic a prescribed burn in indigenous preserve areas but not in conservation areas. He noted that trees cannot be removed unless they present a hazard.

Mr. Krebs stated that the graphic includes recorded Conservation Easements in green; if necessary, he can add the graphic to the Exhibit. Mrs. Adams stated the graphic will be added to the CDD website. Mr. Krebs will add an introductory paragraph.

Discussion ensued regarding the conservation areas and the indigenous preserve map.

Mr. Krebs stated, with regard to zoning, early on zoning did not take the conservation areas and the indigenous preserve areas into consideration. If the indigenous area includes any of the CDD wetlands, the Conservation Easement is more restrictive and must be adhered to.

Asked if multiple permits might be needed, Mr. Krebs stated, if anything needs to be done in the Conservation Area, a modification must be submitted to the SFWMD for the requested activities. Because zoning required so many acres of indigenous areas, the conservation areas were used to satisfy the requirement.

Mr. Pires stated that there can be multiple layers for different agencies and governmental entities. A village might have a particular conservation preservation area and the SFWMD, FDEP and the Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE) have their own.

Mr. Krebs stated that the CDD must abide by the more restrictive regulations.

Mr. Long stated the indigenous preserve is stamped by the SFWMD and the Village of Estero. Mr. Pires stated it could not be approved without modifying the Conservation Easement.

Mr. Krebs stated, as a safeguard, whatever activities are planned should be approved by the SFWMD, given that the rules are changing monthly.

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Discussion/ Consideration of Precision Cleaning, Inc. Estimate for Street Sweeping Services

Mr. Willis presented the Precision Cleaning, Inc. Estimate for Street Sweeping Services. The previous contract totaled \$32,400. The rate increase brings the contract total to \$34,200; the contract does not include The Meadows. The contract provides for 45 sweeps, at a cost of \$760 per sweep. It was noted that the previous contract, which was shared with the Bayside and Bay Creek CDDs, totaled \$10,000.

On MOTION by Mr. Blumenthal and seconded by Mr. Twombly, with all in favor, the Precision Cleaning, Inc. Estimate for Street Sweeping Services, in the amount of \$34,200, was approved.

TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration of Resolution 2024-04, Authorizing the Issuance of its Improvement Revenue Note, Series 2024, in the Aggregate Principal Amount Not to Exceed \$600,000 to Provide Funds to Finance Certain Capital Improvements within the District and Related Costs; Providing that such Note shall Be Payable from Operation and Maintenance Special Assessments Upon Benefitted Properties in the District as Provided Herein; Awarding the Note to Synovus Bank by Negotiated Sale; Authorizing the District to Enter into a Loan Agreement with Synovus Bank; Providing for the Rights, Security and

**Remedies for the Owner of such Note;
Providing for the Creation of Certain Funds;
Making Certain Covenants and Agreements
in Connection Therewith; Declaring the
Issuer's Official Intent to Pay for the Project
or a Portion Thereof Prior to the Issuance of
the Note and to Issue the Note and to Use a
Portion of the Proceeds Thereof to
Reimburse Expenditures Paid or Incurred
Prior to the Date of Issuance Thereof; and
Providing an Effective Date**

Mr. Adams presented Resolution 2024-04 and noted that the Resolution number will be changed to 2024-04. The Resolution provides for a \$600,000 five-year note to fund drainage improvements, as approved at a previous meeting. The loan agreement is identical to others executed in the past; there is no penalty for early prepayment, which allows the outstanding principal to be reduced, should the project be under budget. The estimated annual principal and interest payment is programmed into the proposed Fiscal Year 2025 budget that will be approved later in the meeting.

Discussion ensued regarding revising the project scope, reducing the amount borrowed based on the proposals obtained and funding another proposed project.

Mr. Adams stated that one of the obligations in securing the funding was to provide a project scope, which Mr. Krebs provided with the original proposal; any changes to the scope will invalidate the funding and affect the timing of the project. He suggested the funding proceed, so that the 60-day drainage projects can proceed as scheduled.

Mr. Blumenthal noted that Section 6.02 (c) provides for monies "to be used for any other lawful purpose of the Issuer." Mr. Adams stated that he can try to negotiate that but he recommends proceeding with the funding, given the pending project. He stated that, upon receiving funding, \$100,000 of the funding can be returned as a prepayment.

Mr. Pires stated that ten days' notice is required, in the event of a prepayment.

Mr. Adams stated that the net amount to be received will be \$575,000.

Mr. Blumenthal noted that Section 5.03 states that the payments shall begin on November 1, 2014.

The following change was made to Resolution 2024-02:

Title and where appropriate: Change "2024-02" to "2024-04"

The following change was made to the Loan Agreement:

Page 11, Section 5.03(a): Change “November 1, 2014” to “November 1, 2024”

On MOTION by Mr. Blumenthal and seconded by Mr. Gilman, with all in favor, Resolution 2024-04, as amended, Authorizing the Issuance of its Improvement Revenue Note, Series 2024, in the Aggregate Principal Amount Not to Exceed \$600,000 to Provide Funds to Finance Certain Capital Improvements within the District and Related Costs; Providing that such Note shall Be Payable from Operation and Maintenance Special Assessments Upon Benefitted Properties in the District as Provided Herein; Awarding the Note to Synovus Bank by Negotiated Sale; Authorizing the District to Enter into a Loan Agreement, as amended, with Synovus Bank; Providing for the Rights, Security and Remedies for the Owner of such Note; Providing for the Creation of Certain Funds; Making Certain Covenants and Agreements in Connection Therewith; Declaring the Issuer’s Official Intent to Pay for the Project or a Portion Thereof Prior to the Issuance of the Note and to Issue the Note and to Use a Portion of the Proceeds Thereof to Reimburse Expenditures Paid or Incurred Prior to the Date of Issuance Thereof; and Providing an Effective Date, was adopted.

On MOTION by Mr. Blumenthal and seconded by Mr. Gilman, with all in favor, authorizing Staff to give notice of prepayment in 10 to 15 days, and prepaying \$100,000 as soon as feasible, was approved.

ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration of Resolution 2024-03, Approving a Proposed Budget for Fiscal Year 2024/2025 and Setting a Public Hearing Thereon Pursuant to Florida Law; Addressing Transmittal, Posting and Publication Requirements; Addressing Severability; and Providing an Effective Date

Mr. Adams presented Resolution 2024-03. He stated that the Resolution number will be changed to 2024-02. He stated that changes can be made at the next meeting; the Special Revenue Fund corresponds to the proposed Fiscal Year 2025 budget. Use of surplus funds will keep assessments flat and unchanged from Fiscal Year 2024.

The following change was made to Resolution 2024-03:

Title and where appropriate: Change “2024-03” to “2024-02”

On MOTION by Mr. Blumenthal and seconded by Mr. Schultz, with all in favor, Resolution 2024-02, as amended, Approving a Proposed Budget for Fiscal Year 2024/2025 and Setting a Public Hearing Thereon Pursuant to Florida Law for August 27, 2024 at 1:00 p.m., in the Sound Room at the River Club Conference Center (Second Floor of Fitness Center), 4784 Pelican Sound Boulevard, Estero, Florida 33928; Addressing Transmittal, Posting and Publication Requirements; Addressing Severability; and Providing an Effective Date, was adopted.

TWELFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration of Resolution 2024-04, Designating Dates, Times and Locations for Regular Meetings of the Board of Supervisors of the District for Fiscal Year 2024/2025 and Providing for an Effective Date

Mr. Adams presented Resolution 2024-04. He stated that the Resolution number will be changed to 2024-03.

The following change was made to Resolution 2024-04:

Title and where appropriate: Change “2024-04” to “2024-03”

On MOTION by Mr. Mountford and seconded by Mr. Blumenthal, with all in favor, Resolution 2024-03, as amended, Designating Dates, Times and Locations for Regular Meetings of the Board of Supervisors of the District for Fiscal Year 2024/2025 and Providing for an Effective Date, was adopted.

THIRTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Acceptance of Unaudited Financial Statements as of April 30, 2024

- A. Budget Variance**
- B. Breakdown**
- C. Proposed Budget 2023-2029 [5 Year Plan]**

Mrs. Adams will contact Accounting regarding the “Debt service (prin & int) 2022 note” line item, which is at 205% of budget.

The financials were accepted.

FOURTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of April 23, 2024 Regular Meeting Minutes

The following changes were made:

Line 55: Change “if” to “over”

Line 56: Delete “if the machine washes up again”

Line 70: Change “Mountford” to “Schultz”

Line 71: Change “Lakes” to “River”

Line 87: Change “values” to “valves”

Line 294: Insert “and Meadows” after “Hills”

On MOTION by Mr. Blumenthal and seconded by Mr. Twombly, with all in favor, the April 23, 2024 Regular Meeting Minutes, as amended, were approved.

- **Active Action and Agenda Items**

Mr. Willis stated that the Traffic Hawk is under contract; Staff is waiting for equipment to arrive. It will be installed when received.

Mr. Schultz asked for a communication to be sent informing property owners about speeding fines before the Traffic Hawk is turned on.

Mr. Willis will obtain references from other communities using the technology.

Item 1: Is going through permitting.

Items 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 13, 15, 17, 20, 21, 22 and 24 were completed.

Item 9: Lykins provided a proposal; signs have not been installed yet.

Per Mr. Krebs, the Williams Road stop sign is still not replaced.

Item 10: Mr. Krebs will follow up with the state.

Item 14: Change “Lakes” to “River”. A quote was received today from MRI totaling \$192,892. Mr. Krebs will request a revised quote for regrading the flow way in the DRAs.

Item 17: Mr. Krebs stated that the drain is working; discussion ensued regarding the need for quarterly cleaning.

Item 18: Mr. Long will send Mr. Stewart’s email address.

Item 19: Mr. Krebs will work with Ted and Mr. Long to designate locations for three solar-powered digital speed signs.

A. District Counsel: Woodward Pires & Lombardo, P.A.

- **Consideration of License Agreement with PSGRC for Fountains**

Ms. Pires presented a redline version of the License Agreement with the PSGRC for Fountains and distributed an Exhibit showing the locations of the fountains.

On MOTION by Mr. Blumenthal and seconded by Mr. Twombly, with all in favor, the License Agreement with PSGRC for fountains, effective May 28, 2024, was approved.

B. District Engineer: Hole Montes, Inc.

- **Update: Required Replacement Signage Project**

Mr. Krebs stated that he had Mr. John Baker inspect faded signs to be replaced; a proposal will be presented at the next meeting.

C. District Manager: Wrathell, Hunt and Associates, LLC

- I. 1,456 Registered Voters in District as of April 15, 2024**
- II. Reminder of Qualifying Period: Noon, June 10, 2024 - Noon, June 14, 2024**
- III. Key Activity Dates**

The Monthly Key Activity Dates list was included for informational purposes.

IV. NEXT MEETING DATE: June 25, 2024 at 1:00 PM

- **QUORUM CHECK**

Supervisors Gilman, Blumenthal and Mountford confirmed their attendance at the June 25, 2024 meeting. Mr. Schultz will attend via telephone. Mr. Twombly will be absent.

SIXTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisors' Requests and Public Comments (5 minutes per speaker)

Mr. Schultz asked if all the permits for the stormwater management project were received. Mr. Krebs stated he gave the Village permit to Mr. Adams. The SFWMD permit is expected soon; they requested a signed authorization from the PSGRC. Since the resubmittal deadline passed, the Village is likely in the process of reissuing the permit. No delays are expected.

Mr. Krebs will provide copies of the plans.

On MOTION by Mr. Gilman and seconded by Mr. Blumenthal, with all in favor, the meeting adjourned at 3:22 p.m.

[SIGNATURES APPEAR ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE]


Secretary/Assistant Secretary


Chair/Vice Chair